President, to the Board of Regents the names of nominees, together with a specific statement of the particular achievements of the nominees upon which they base their action.

- Approval of the nomination by the Board of Regents shall be by vote of no less than three fourths (3/4) of all the members.
- The names of persons in whose favor the conferment of honorary degrees has been so approved shall not be revealed or published until after acceptance by the parties concerned.
- The honorary degree [shall be granted only in recognition of learning, statesmanship, eminence in literature, science, or art, and] shall never be conferred in consideration of the payment of money or other valuable considerations.

AS A GENERAL RULE, THE HONORARY DEGREE IS CONFERRED DURING THE UNIVERSITY'S COMMENCEMENT EXERCISES.

Board action: Approved as corrected.

### Proposal to Establish the Position of University Professor Emeritus

The "Professor Emeritus" title is given to a retired Professor of the University who has rendered at least 20 years of active and faithful service to the University and has achieved marked distinction as a productive scholar, artist or scientist, or is widely acknowledged as an effective and dedicated teacher (from Art. 207, University Code).

"University Professor" is the highest academic rank in the University conferred by the Board of Regents upon a select few from among the faculty for exemplary intellectual achievement joined to moral integrity. They are recognized as world class scientists and scholars for their outstanding contributions to the advancement of

These few University Professors if conferred the Professor Emeritus title will surely bring honor and glory to the University. To distinguish this select few from the other Professors Emeriti, the following are proposed:

- 1. Establish the position of University Professor Emeritus to be conferred to retired University Professors following existing guidelines.
- Change the title from Professor Emeritus to University Professor Emeritus of all existing Professors Emeriti who were University Professors prior to retirement.

## The U.P. Scientific Career System: U.P. Scientific Productivity System

This proposal specifies the implementing guidelines for the participation of U.P. personnel in the Scientific Career System.

U.P. proposes to address two major concerns regarding the existing guidelines: (1) the nature of the Scientist appointment and (2) the criteria for admission to, retention and promotion in the System.

U.P. scientists shall be evaluated using a set of high standards that will put "U.P. University Scientists" in their rightful place in the international community of scholars. Only the best and the most deserving shall be conferred the title. The conferment shall be temporary, thus, only those who are productive shall be retained or promoted.

Scientific productivity in academia is universally measured by the ability to publish in refereed reputable journals and books. For this reason, only refereed publications shall be, giving more weight to scientific and technological books published by prestigious publishers and to refereed publications in ISI journals. Scientific standing and peer recognition are best gauged by membership in prestigious international bodies and peer review groups and prestigious international scientific awards. The proposed evaluation criteria and rating system reflect these standards. They also recognize technological productivity in the applied sciences.

Although teaching, extension work, and the training of other scientists are important functions of an academic, these criteria should not be considered for the U.P. University Scientist rank. This is because teaching and public service deserve their own award system. Moreover, the relatively small weight for teaching (only 10 pts in the current system) does not do justice to the service. Furthermore, performances in these functions are already considered when being evaluated as faculty or REPS. Thus, the basis of the U.P. University Scientist rank shall be scientific and technological outputs and scientific and professional standings.

### General Guidelines

- 1. Nature of the Appointment
  - a. Productive U.P. Scientists shall be conferred the title "U.P. University Scientist" depending on scientific productivity: U.P. University Scientist I
    - U.P. University Scientist II

    - U.P. University Scientist III
  - b. Conferment of the U.P. University Scientist title shall be temporary. It shall be in the nature of an additional recognition, to be given by U.P. as an award.
  - c. Regular, full-time faculty, research faculty, and researchers (REPS) in active service who belong to the scientific disciplines covered by the SCS\* (Annex 1) and meet the qualifications in Table 1 may be considered for the title of U.P. University Scientist.
  - d. After three years, the Scientist shall be evaluated for the purpose of retention and if merited, for promotion.
  - e. Faculty, Faculty Researchers, and REPS shall retain their ranks as U.P. faculty, faculty researchers, and REPS when they join the System and may be promoted as faculty, faculty researchers, or REPS accordingly.
  - Faculty, Faculty Researchers or REPS rank shall remain the basic rank, on which retirement and other benefits will be based.
- 2. Admission to the System
  - a. U.P. personnel shall be admitted into the system to the extent that they meet the minimum qualifications (Table 1) and subject to the availability of funds.
  - Nominees must have at the minimum a Ph.D. degree in the field for which s/he is being conferred the Scientist rank or M.D. with M.S. degrees.
  - The following shall be the criteria for evaluation:
    - Scientific Productivity as measured by publications and technological output;
    - Scientific Standing; and
    - Professional Standing
  - d. A rating system for the evaluation of nominees shall be followed. (Table 2)
- Retention/Promotion in the System
  - a. Scientists shall be evaluated every three years using the rating system in Table 2.
  - To retain the U.P. University Scientist rank or to be promoted to a higher rank, the Scientist must meet the requirements stated in Table 3, based on work produced in the three years since the last conferment.
- Readmission to the System

Scientists who were not conferred the University Scientist rank after re-evaluation may be re-considered after five years and must meet the requirements for initial conferment.

<sup>\*</sup> Expanded to include Rehabilitation Science, Occupational Therapy, Speech Pathology and Archaeology, as approved in principle by the Scientific Career Council during its 36th meeting on 8 March 2000.

#### Annex 1

Specifically, the SCS shall cover the following disciplines:

- A. Basic/Natural Sciences and Mathematics
  - 1. Archaeology
  - 2. Astronomy
  - 3. Biology (including Molecular Biology)
  - 4. Biotechnology
  - 5. Botany
  - 6. Chemistry
  - 7. Earth Sciences
    - 7.1 Geochemistry
    - 7.2 Geology
    - 7.3 Geophysics
    - 7.4 Seismology
    - 7.5 Volcanology
  - 8. Environmental Sciences (including Ecology)
  - 9. Mathematical Sciences
    - 9.1 Mathematics (pure/abstract/applied, including analysis, differential geometry and graphs)
    - 9.2 Operations Research
    - 9.3 Statistics (including mathematical probability, statistical mathematics and computing and graphics)
  - 10. Materials Science
  - 11. Meteorology
  - 12. Microbiology (including Veterinary and Agricultural Microbiology)
  - 13. Nutrition
  - 14. Oceanography and Marine Sciences
  - 15. Physics (including Biophysics and Mathematical Physics)
  - 16. Space Sciences
    - 16.1 Astronomy
    - 16.2 Interferometry
    - 16.3 Remote Sensing
  - 17. Zoology
- B. Engineering and Information and Communication Technology
  - 1. Aeronautical and Nautical Engineering
  - 2. Agricultural Engineering
  - 3. Architecture
  - 4. Chemical Engineering
  - 5. Civil Engineering
  - 6. Computer Engineering
  - 7. Computer Science
  - 8. Communications Engineering
  - 9. Earthquake Engineering
  - 10. Electrical Engineering
  - 11. Electronics Engineering
  - 12. Energy Engineering
  - 13. Environmental Engineering
  - 14. Food Engineering
  - 15. Forest Products Engineering
  - 16. Geothermal Engineering
  - 17. Industrial Engineering
  - 18. Information Technology
  - 19. Materials Engineering
  - 20. Mechanical Engineering
  - 21. Metallurgical Engineering
  - 22. Mining Engineering
  - 23. Nuclear Engineering
  - 24. Structural Engineering
  - 25. Textile Engineering

- C. Medical Sciences
  - 1. Basic Sciences
    - 1.1 Anatomy
    - 1.2 Epidemiology
    - 1.3 Medical Pathology1.4 Medical Parasitology
    - 1.5 Medical Microbiology
    - 1.6 Physiology
    - 1.7 Pharmacology
    - 1.8 Public Health
  - 2. Clinical Sciences
    - 2.1 Medical (including Pediatrics and sub-specialties of Internal Medicine and Psychiatry)
    - 2.2 Surgical [including sub-specialties of Surgery, Obstetrics, Gynecology, Ear-Nose-Throat (ENT) and Ophthalmology Medical Biotechnology]
  - 3. Health Related Sciences
    - 3.1 Dentistry
    - 3.2 Medical Technology
    - 3.3 Nursing
    - 3.4 Pharmacy
  - 4. Medical Biotechnology
  - 5. Medical Genetics
  - 6. Rehabilitation Science
    - 6.1 Occupational Therapy
    - 6.2 Physical Therapy
    - 6.3 Rehabilitation Medicine
    - 6.4 Speech Therapy
- D. Agricultural Sciences
  - 1. Agricultural Biotechnology
  - 2. Agronomy
  - 3. Animal Science
  - 4. Entomology
  - 5. Fisheries and Aquaculture
  - 6. Food Science and Technology
  - 7. Forestry and forest products
  - 8. Horticulture
  - 9. Plant Breeding and Genetics
  - 10. Plant Pathology
  - 11. Soil Science
  - 12. Veterinary Medicine
  - 13. Weed Science
- E. SELECTED FIELDS OF SOCIAL SCIENCES (per SCC Res. No.
  - 12 dated 8-20-98)
  - 1. Anthropology
  - 2. Communication
  - 3. Demography
  - 4. Economics
  - 5. Geography
  - 6. History
  - 7. Linguistics
  - 8. Political Science
  - 9. Psychology
  - 10. Public Administration
  - 11. Social Work
  - 12. Sociology
- F. OTHERS
  - 1. Library and Archival Sciences
  - 2. Scientific & Technical Documentation

# Annex 2

SCS	Existing SCS Qualification	UP Proposal 2005
Rank	MS in the appropriate fields of science and 10 yrs productive scholarship and professional R&D work*	MD plus MS and 5 yrs of consistent productive scholarship*
	or PhD and 5 yrs productive scholarship and professional R&D work*	or PhD* and 5 yrs of consistent productive scholarship*
	must garner at least 50 pts in scientific productivity	*55 pts from criteria I and/or II
II	[MS/10 yrs or PhD/5 yrs] plus 60 pts	NONE
III	[MS/10 yrs or PhD/5 yrs] plus 70 pts	PhD and 7 yrs of consistent productive scholarship*
		**75pts from criteria I and/or II plus criteria III or IV
IV	[MS/10 yrs or PhD/5 yrs] plus 80 pts	NONE
V	PhD in appropriate fields and 10 yrs of productive scholarship and professional R&D work*	PhD and 10 yrs of consistent productive scholarship*
	* must garner at least 90 pts	*95 pts from criteria I and/or II, plus criteria III and IV

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  in field for which s/he is being considered

Table 1. Minimum Qualifications for Initial Appointment

Criteria	] 1	Rank of UP University Scientist		
	I	II	III	
Graduate degree	Doctoral Degree	e* in specific field of specializ	ation or MD with MS	
<ul> <li>Minimum no. of years of consistent productive scholarshi</li> </ul>	p			
prior to appointment	5	7	10	
Criteria for Scientific Productivity				
I. Refereed publications				
A. Scientific Articles				
1. National				
2. International				
3. International, ISI				
B. Scientific/Technological Books/Chapters in Books				
(prestigious publishers)				
1. National				
2. International				
II. Technological Output				
A. Patent				
B. Original peer-reviewed design				
III. Scientific Standing (International Journal/Books)				
A. Editor				
B. Peer Reviewer				
C. Member, editorial board				
IV. Professional Standing (International Organizations/				
Conferences)				
A. Member of prestigious international bodies by invitation	on			
B. Scientific award				
C. Keynote speaker				
D. Plenary speaker				
Source of Points (minimum)	I and/or II	I and/or II (min 40/	I and/or II (min 20/	
(Criteria I, II, III, IV)		max 55pts) plus III or IV	max 35pts) plus III and IV	
Number of points required	55	75	95	
- •	last 5 years	last 5 years	last 5 years	

ullet in field in which s/he is being considered

Table 2. Rating System for Evaluation of Nominees

Source of Points		Points	Remarks
I. Scientific Refereed Publications		roints	- For publications to be credited, UP must be indicated as address of author(s)  - Multi-authors (applies to books and journals)  1 - 2 authors 100%  3 - 4 80  5 up 60  - How about refereed University journals?  OVCRD applies national criteria to "accredit" University
			journals; re-assess college-based journals before they can considered
A. Scientific Articles			
1. National		3	
2. International		5	
3. International, ISI		7	
B. Scientific/Technological Books/ Chapters in Books (prestigious publishers)			Only non-textbooks considered
1. National	Book	7/Chapter 35	Ask UP Press and OVCRD to list down prestigious publishers
2. International	Chapter Book	10/Chapter 50	Use list in International Publications Awards
II. Technological Output			
1. Patent	Local Int'l	15 50	
2. Peer-reviewed design		10 - 20	- Must be original - Dean Rowena Guevara will draft peer-review mechanism
III. Scientific Standing (International Journal/Books)			Dean Rowena Guevara will studya comparable mechanism of recognition for engineers
1. Editor		20	
2. Peer Reviewer	3 pts/ar	ticle	- Maximum of two (2)     - Look at International Editorial Board of journals     - Can also apply to invited Dissertation reviewer by prestigious foreign universities
3. Member, editorial board	5		- Maximum of two (2) journals - 5 points per journal - For at least one year appointment during period of review
IV. Professional Standing (International Organizations/Conferences)			Dean Rowena Guevara will study a comparable mechanism of recognition for engineers
Member of prestigious international bodies, by invitation		10	- By direct invitation only (Those recommended by institution or government not included) - Maximum of two (2)
2. Scientific Award		10	Maximum of two (2)
3. Keynote speaker		50	Only one speaker
4. Plenary speaker		10	Maximum of two (2)

# RETENTION/PROMOTION

- 1. Scientists shall be evaluated every three years.
- 2. The number of points required, and the source of these points, to be retained within the same rank or promoted to the next higher rank are summarized in the table below.

Table 3. RETENTION/PROMOTION

UP University	Points Required	Sources of Points	
Scientist Rank	(period of evaluation: 3 years		
	after last appointment)		
I	35	I and/or II	
II	45	I and/or II (min 20 - max 28) plus III or IV	
III	55	I and/or II (min 11 - max 18) plus III and IV	

- 3. If a University Scientist does not meet the retention requirements, the following will apply:
  - will slide down to a lower rank or
  - may be temporarily removed from the UP Scientific Productivity Program.
- 4. If a University Scientist is removed from the Program s/he did not meet the minimum requirement, s/he may apply again after five years and should meet the same requirements as for initial appointment.